

PATENT Attorney Docket No. 0553-0246

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

$\Omega_{\rm p}$	
In re application of:	I hereby certify that this correspondence is
Koichiro Tanaka	being deposited with the United States Postal
, )	
	) Service as first class mail in an envelope
Serial No.: 09/812,529 /	addressed to:
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	Commissioner for Patents
Filed: March 20, 2001	) P.O. Box 1450
•	Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:
	,
Examiner: R. Booth	) DATE: August 22, 2003
	2) (1)-11000
Art Unit: 2812	NAME Shannon Wollace
Art Unit: 2812	
	) SIGNATURE SOUNDING
For: METHOD OF MANUFACTURING A	)
	!
SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE	

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# RESPONSE D (AFTER FINAL)

Applicant has the following response to the Final Rejection of May 22, 2003. In the Final Rejection, Claims 1-13 continue to be allowed

The Examiner, however, rejects Claims 14-17 and 23-30 under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over <u>Yamazaki et al.</u> 5,365,080 in view of <u>Yamazaki et al.</u> 5,627,084. The Examiner further rejects Claims 18-22 and 31-40 under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over <u>Yamazaki et al.</u> '080 and <u>Yamazaki et al.</u> '084, and further in view of <u>Kato et al.</u> 5,589,406. Each of these rejections is respectfully traversed.

The present invention is directed to a method of manufacturing a semiconductor device. The method of the present invention includes irradiating an amorphous semiconductor film with a first laser beam and a second laser beam. In independent Claims 15, 16, 19 and 20, the first laser beam is an excimer laser beam. In independent Claims 14 and 18, the first laser beam has a

MUG 29 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

wavelength of 308nm, while in independent Claims 17, 21 and 22, it has a wavelength of from 126 to 370nm. In independent claims 14, 15, 17, 18, 19 and 21, the second laser beam has a wavelength of 532nm, while in independent Claims 16, 20 and 22, it has a wavelength of 370 to 650nm.

### <u>Independent Claims 14, 15, 17-19 and 21</u>

As explained below, independent Claims 14, 15, 17-19 and 21 are clearly patentable over the cited references.

While <u>Yamazaki</u> '080 discloses a YAG laser radiation for the second laser annealing step, <u>Yamazaki</u> '080 does not disclose a second harmonic of a YAG laser radiation. <u>Yamazaki</u> '084 discloses a second harmonic of a YAG laser. The Examiner, however, acknowledges that neither reference discloses a second laser beam having a wavelength of 532nm, as recited in Claims 14, 15, 17-19 and 21.

Further, in contrast to the cited references, in the method of the claimed invention, the first and second laser beams conduct first and second laser irradiation to crystallize the amorphous semiconductor film. Specifically, the method comprises irradiating the amorphous semiconductor film with a first laser beam to form a first crystalline semiconductor film, and irradiating the first crystalline semiconductor film with a second laser beam to form a second crystalline semiconductor film, wherein the second laser beam has a wavelength of 532nm. By use of the second laser beam, amorphous regions of the first crystalline semiconductor film are crystallized without disturbing the already-crystallized regions of the first crystalline semiconductor film because the second laser beam having a wavelength of 532nm is absorbed in an amorphous silicon more than in a polycrystalline silicon. Thus, a second crystalline semiconductor film having a high uniformity is obtained. Because neither cited reference

discloses or suggests the specific wavelength of the second laser beam, the claimed method and resulting advantages thereof are not disclosed or suggested by the cited references.

Therefore, for at least the above-stated reasons, the claimed method of independent Claims 14, 15, 17-19 and 21 is not disclosed or suggested by the cited references. Accordingly, these independent claims, and those claims dependent thereon, are patentable over the cited references.

## Independent Claims 16, 20 and 22

As explained below, independent Claims 16, 20 and 22 are clearly patentable over the cited references.

While <u>Yamazaki '080</u> discloses a YAG laser radiation for the second laser annealing step, <u>Yamazaki '080</u> does not disclose a second harmonic of a YAG laser radiation. <u>Yamazaki '084</u> discloses a second harmonics of a YAG laser. The Examiner, however, acknowledges that neither reference discloses a second laser beam having a wavelength of 370 to 650 nm, as recited independent Claims 16, 20 and 22.

Further, in contrast to the cited references, the claimed invention conducts first and second laser irradiations with the first and second laser beams to crystallize the amorphous semiconductor film with the first laser beam into a first crystalline semiconductor film, and to further crystallize the first crystalline semiconductor film with the second laser beam into a second crystalline semiconductor film. By the second laser beam, amorphous regions of the first crystalline semiconductor film are crystallized without disturbing already crystallized regions of the first crystalline semiconductor film because the second laser beam having a wavelength of 370 to 650 nm is absorbed in an amorphous silicon more than in a polycrystalline silicon. Thus, a second crystalline semiconductor film having high uniformity is obtained. Because neither

cited reference discloses or suggests the specific wavelength of the second laser beam, the claimed method and resulting advantages thereof are not disclosed or suggested by the cited references.

Therefore, for at least the above-stated reasons, the claimed method of independent Claims 16, 20 and 22 is not disclosed or suggested by the cited references. Accordingly, these independent claims, and those claims dependent thereon, are patentable over the cited references.

### Independent Claims 14-22

Further, as compared to a YAG laser radiation having a wavelength of 1064 nm, a second harmonic of a YAG laser beam used in the present invention is suitable for crystallization of a semiconductor film. Because Yamazaki '080 does not suggest any wavelength for the YAG laser radiation used in the second laser annealing step, the method of present invention as claimed in independent claims 14-22 would not have been obvious in view of this reference.

### Conclusion

Consequently, for at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant asserts that none of independent Claims 14-22 would have been obvious over the cited art. Claims 23-40, all of which are dependent claims, would also not have been obvious for at least the same reasons.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is in a condition for allowance and requests that it be allowed.

If any additional fee is due for this amendment, please charge our deposit account 50/1039.

Favorable reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark J. Mu<del>rph</del>ý

Registration No. 34,225

COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER, LTD. 200 West Adams Street - #2850 Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 236-8500

4 . . . . .